

# Minutes of a meeting of the Bradford East Area Committee held on Thursday 17 March 2016 at City Hall, Bradford

Commenced 1800  
Adjourned 1914  
Reconvened 1927  
Concluded 2024

## PRESENT – Councillors

LABOUR	LIBERAL DEMOCRAT	BRADFORD INDEPENDENT GROUP
H U Khan (Chair)	Fear	F Khan
Iqbal	Griffiths	
Jamil	Reid	
Shafiq	R Sunderland	

## Councillor H U Khan in the Chair

### 54. DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

The following disclosures of interest were received in the interests of clarity:

- (1) Councillor R Sunderland disclosed a prejudicial interest as she had family member involvement in Sherborne Road in relation to minute 58 and left the meeting during consideration of that item.
- (2) Councillor Griffiths was a GP and expressed an interest in relation to minute 64.
- (3) Councillor Shafiq expressed an interest in Leeds Road in relation to minute 58.

### ***ACTION: Interim City Solicitor***

### 55. MINUTES

#### Resolved –

**That the minutes of the meeting held on 11 February 2016 be signed as a correct record (previously circulated).**

### 56. INSPECTION OF REPORTS AND BACKGROUND PAPERS

There were no appeals submitted by the public to review decisions to restrict documents.



## 57. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

There were no questions submitted by the public.

## 58. OBJECTIONS RECEIVED TO THE PROPOSED BRADFORD EAST AREA-WIDE TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

### Bowling and Barkerend / Eccleshill / Idle and Thackley

The report of the Strategic Director, Regeneration (**Document “W”**) considered the objections received to the recently advertised Bradford East Area wide Traffic Regulation Order

Members of the public were present at the meeting in relation to the traffic regulation order for Prospect Street and made the following points:

- It was at the back of my property.
- We would not have anywhere to park.
- My mother was disabled and needs clear access to her home.
- If the proposal was accepted I would have to park two streets away and this was not practical.
- There was no parking on the front of the street.
- Parking allowed with a blue badge would solve my mothers problem but not mine.
- The resident has a driveway and nobody blocks him in.

Members of the public were present at the meeting in relation to the traffic regulation order for Higher Intake Road and made the following points:

- The road was six metres wide and as there was a gap in the yellow line. This defeated the object of the exercise.
- A waste removal vehicle could only go part of the way.
- It doesn't make sense to do a yellow line only half way along the road.
- We only just found out about this meeting.
- There was not enough room to park.
- Moor Terrace has no parking.
- I have objected to yellow lines on the full length of the road.
- Vans have been getting through.
- You are taking away my parking. I am a blue badge holder.
- The resident said there was no parking but he built an extension on his driveway and can't park there now.
- Parked taxis stopped waste removal vehicles from getting through.

Members made the following comments:

- Has the request for the traffic regulation order in respect of Prospect Street come from residents?
- Does the officer have a view on parking on Stonegate Road?
- Would restrictions on one side solve the problem.
- The proposed amendments in respect of Sherborne Road make sense as there were new flats on Town Lane.
- The problem at the moment was that mixed messages were coming from different residents.
- The Ward Councillor had supported the recommendation in the officer report.
- How many residents had approached you in respect of Otley Road?



- There were enforcement issues at Otley Road as people park there.

The Principal Engineer responded to members comments and made the following points:

#### Prospect Street

- The proposed restrictions on Prospect Street were only along a short length equivalent to two cars at the end of the road.
- Blue badge holders could still park for up to three hours.
- People from properties at the end of Prospect Street struggle to get access to their homes.
- The request for a Traffic Regulation Order in respect of Prospect Street had come from residents.
- There was a difference of level on Stonegate Road and Prospect Street.
- People park at the bottom of Prospect Street.
- There was a request to resolve access issues at the end of Prospect Street.

#### Higher Intake Road

- A refuse vehicle would be able to reverse on part of the street (more than without any restrictions).
- Objectors were informed about the meeting as soon as the agenda was published.
- I am happy for the Traffic Regulation Order for Higher Intake Road to cover the full length of the road.
- I would support an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order for Higher Intake Road to cover the full length of the road, however a suggested compromise was to implement as proposed and monitor to determine if further restrictions were needed. the extension on Intake Road.

#### Otley Road

- Only one on-street parking space would be lost on Otley Road.

#### **Resolved –**

- (1) **That the objections to Prospect Street and Higher Intake Road be overruled and the proposals for Sherborne Road be amended as shown in Appendix 2 to Document “W” and the Traffic Regulation Order be amended, sealed and implemented.**
- (2) **That the proposal for waiting restrictions on Otley Road at its junction with New Fields Walk be withdrawn.**
- (3) **That the objectors be informed accordingly.**

#### ***OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Environment and Waste Management***

#### ***ACTION: Strategic Director, Regeneration***

#### **59. DEVOLVED BUDGET - SAFER ROADS SCHEMES**

#### **All Wards**

The report of the Strategic Director, Regeneration (**Document “X”**) sought approval for a programme of Safer Roads Schemes for Bradford East for the 2016/17 financial year.

Members made the following comments:

- The traffic regulation order for Doctor Hill was previously approved but it had been put back.



The Principal Engineer responded to members comments and made the following points:

- The funding was based on population levels.
- The position in respect of residual funding was not clear and therefore there would be a future report to consider this.
- I was aware of the planning application at Doctor Hill which had been refused but had not ruled out this being re-submitted in a different form. The site could be added again to the Traffic Regulation Order.

**Resolved –**

- (1) **That the programme of Casualty Reduction schemes for 2016/17 listed in Appendix 1 to Document “X” be approved.**
- (2) **That the proposed programme of Traffic Management schemes for 2016/17 listed in Appendices 2 and 3 to Document “X” with the addition of the Doctor Hill Traffic Regulation Order to Appendix 3, be approved.**
- (3) **That a further report be presented to the Committee to update members on progress with the 2015/16 schemes programme and give consideration to the allocation of any residual funding.**
- (4) **That any Traffic Regulation Orders, or any legal procedures linked to the processing of traffic calming measures or pedestrian crossing facilities which are necessary to implement the chosen schemes be approved for processing and advertising subject to the scheme details being agreed with the local Ward Members.**
- (5) **That any valid objections to the advertised Traffic Regulation Orders, traffic calming or pedestrian facilities be submitted to this Committee for consideration or in the event of there being no valid objections the Traffic Regulation Orders be sealed and implemented and the traffic calming or pedestrian facilities be implemented as advertised.**
- (6) **That approval is given to convert short lengths of footway adjacent to the proposed signal controlled crossing at the Leeds Road / Rushton Road / Lower Rushton Road junction, and indicated by signing, to shared use between pedestrians and cyclists**

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Environment and Waste Management**

**ACTION: Strategic Director, Regeneration**

**60. HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE NON-CLASSIFIED ROADS AND SURFACE DRESSING ALLOCATION FOR BRADFORD EAST - 2016/17**

**All Wards**

The report of the Strategic Director, Regeneration (**Document “Y”**) provided information on Capital Highway Maintenance funding for 2015/16 – 2016/17 and made recommendations on the allocation for Non-Classified road resurfacing schemes and Surface Dressing sites for 2016/17.

Members made the following comments:

- There had been a bad experience in Ravenscliffe. First buses had put their buses off the road and Metro had introduced an off peak minibus service.
- Can officers have a conversation with Ward Councillors before the orders go out for work in Ravenscliffe.



- It was not in the report but can you look at the Lister Lane / Bolton Lane crossing as something needed to be done about the huge holes there.

The Principal Engineer responded to members comments and made the following points:

- We would repair any defects found in Ravenscliffe.

**Resolved –**

**That the proposed programme of works for 2016/17 as shown in Appendices 2 and 3 to Document “Y” be approved.**

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Environment and Waste Management  
ACTION: Strategic Director, Regeneration**

**61. AN UPDATE ON ARRANGEMENTS BY THE COUNCIL AND ITS PARTNERS TO TACKLE CHILD SEXUAL EXPLOITATION (CSE)**

**All Wards**

The report of the Assistant Director, Children’s Services (**Document “Z”**) outlined that Bradford East Area Committee had requested an update about activity in relation to child sexual exploitation (CSE), with a particular focus on:

- Dealing with historic cases of CSE;
- Addressing CSE in black and minority ethnic communities;
- The provision of services for children and young people who are at risk of, or who are victims of CSE;
- Forced Marriage Protection Orders and work done to support those affected by forced marriage.

Members made the following comments:

- In respect of paragraph 2.14 in the report addressing historic cases of CSE where had the investigative officers come from? Have they worked in Bradford a long time or are they new people?
- There had previously been failures as perpetrators of CSE crimes had not been brought to justice.
- How many forced marriage protection orders were issued?
- Did you ask about the ethnicity of CSE children?
- Was there information on the ethnicity of people issued with forced marriage orders?
- I am concerned about CSE within families.
- There were different barriers to reporting incidents of CSE within BME communities.
- If you are a little child affected by CSE how do you report it? We should enable everyone to come forward.
- Boys were under represented in the figures.
- It was important to do more preventative work in the future and work in schools, among private hire operators and night workers.
- I welcome the report. Can we in future have a report which focuses on the situation in Bradford East.
- There should not just be community engagement, any initiatives should be community led.

The Manager of the Bradford Safeguarding Children Board responded to members comments and made the following points:



- The Police know the best answer in respect of information concerning their staff.
- There was a mixture of younger and more experienced Police officers. Some retired Police officers were brought back due to the demands of work on historic cases.
- In the past cases had been looked at and dealt with by the standards of that day.
- Some people had contacted the historic case team directly. Some had been identified by agencies and then sensitively approached. Some potential victims had been identified as a result of enquiries about other historic abuse cases.
- There was information on the obstacles people faced in obtaining services to overcome CSE.
- There was no information on the ethnicity of people issued with forced marriage orders.
- There was information which could be provided on the number of children who were victims of CSE.
- Very important information was delivered to young people through drama, videos and DVD's.
- It was a big challenge to make the information provided intelligible to all children as many different languages were spoken in Bradford schools. The skill of teachers and other school based staff who already have a good relationship with children and work with them every day was often the greatest resource in helping children to understand the important safety messages and apply them to their own circumstances.
- CSE was a form of abuse which many victims don't recognise as abuse.
- Important work was been done by the Youth Service.
- It was important to work in different communities as well as Sikh, Muslim and Christian faith communities. It was better when communities had ownership of the work that was taking place. An example of a community taking ownership of the issue and working to raise awareness of CSE and to report concerns to the authorities was the work of "United Keighley" which had organised and led a week of action about CSE in Keighley.

#### **Resolved –**

**That comments of members be taken on board and that the Committee receives a report in a years time on the situation in Bradford East.**

***OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Children's Services***

***ACTION: Assistant Director, Children's Services***

#### **62. NEW DEAL PROGRAMME: ENGAGEMENT UPDATE**

**All Wards**

The report of the Assistant Director, Policy, Programmes and Change (**Document "AA"**) provided:

- An update on actions that build on the findings from the first engagement in New Deal.
- A summary of initial findings from the second phase of engagement.
- An indication of developing plans for further approaches to engagement.

Members made the following comments:

- How many people did you consult with?
- The report mentions the first and second phases but doesn't say what happens in the different phases.
- We should support projects which were specific to Bradford.



- Community groups should be given a leg up as starting was the hardest thing to do.
- On page 14 of the report it refers to changing the model for how libraries are delivered. There was a meeting in Bradford Moor against closing libraries.
- It was important to support people with dementia and their carers.

The Business Transformation Officer responded to members comments and made the following points:

- We consulted with 300 members of the public.
- The report shows the different types of engagement.
- We do not support specific projects but do offer support and offer facilities and skills.
- Through inter faith work we gather volunteers to run mobile libraries.
- We can work through faith organisations to recruit more volunteers.

#### **Resolved –**

- (1) **That the report be noted and that in the New Deal programme specific projects or groups be supported on a case by case basis.**
- (2) **That the feedback from residents be welcomed and acknowledged.**
- (3) **That the Committee continue to engage with residents, businesses and stakeholders about ways of working differently, and feed any relevant findings into the New Deal programme.**

#### ***OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Corporate***

***ACTION: Assistant Director, Policy, Programmes and Change***

#### **63. A BRIEFING TO AREA COMMITTEE MEMBERS ON CHANGES TO THE DOMESTIC WASTE COLLECTION SERVICE**

**All Wards**

The report of the Director, Environment and Sport (**Document “AB”**) highlighted the key changes to the Council’s domestic waste collection arrangements following the introduction of the Council’s Domestic Waste and Recycling Policy (DWARP)

Members made the following comments:

- Was this an enforcement issue?
- There were a 1,000 extra bins allocated for Keighley.
- There tended to be old grey bins for elderly persons living in flats.
- It looks like there has been a dramatic improvement in behaviour.
- When did you start the new system? This was a small snapshot over the last six months.
- Some people had more than one wheelie bin.
- Was there a limit of one recycling bin per property?

The Recycling and Waste Minimisation Officer responded to members comments and made the following points:

- We do visit people and try to get them to recycle more.
- The situation was improving, it was early days at the moment.
- The grey bins were usually in the flats as the flats were not suitable for wheelie bins.
- We would repossess and repair bins.



- Yes it was a snapshot, we only started in Keighley on 16 November 2015.
- We encourage people to return bins if they have more than one. They can only present one bin at the kerbside. People are reluctant to give up their extra bins.
- You can have as many recycling bins as possible.

**Resolved –**

**That it be noted that this report is brought for the information of the Committee.**

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Environment and Waste Management**  
**ACTION: Director, Environment and Sport**

#### 64. **BETTER START BRADFORD PROGRAMME UPDATE**

**All Wards**

The report of the Strategic Director, Children's Services (**Document "AC"**) provided an update on setting up the Better Start Bradford programme, outcomes, impact and the implications for the district.

Members made the following comments:

- It was an interesting and innovative idea.
- How important was the work that you are doing?
- It would be good if you could identify savings as we were not awash with money.
- It was important to ensure that children were better developed and brought up 20 years down the line.
- Some good ideas fall by the wayside.
- I have spent 30 years working as a GP and knew my health visitor well and there was less contact now.

The Officer responded to members comments and made the following points:

- The Committee had asked information about measuring outcomes. There were three overarching outcomes.
- We were not achieving any savings.
- We have persuaded some services to put their money in the pot, such as West Yorkshire Police.
- At the local level there was good support and not from the obvious places. The lottery has funded five different sites.
- Our focus was at looking at what works.
- Some families would disappear out of our projects.
- There was an integrated care pathway, with midwifery, GP's and involvement of the voluntary sector.

**Resolved –**

**That the report be noted and that the Committee receives a further update in 12 Months time.**

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Children's Services**  
**ACTION: Strategic Director, Children's Services**





65. **COMMUNITY CHESTS GRANTS FUNDING 2016 / 2017**

**All Wards**

The report of the Bradford East Co-ordinator (**Document “AD”**) asked members to consider the make-up of the Grants Advisory Group in the Bradford East Area.

**Resolved –**

**That a Grants Advisory Group made up of the Chair, Deputy Chair and Opposition Spokesperson (Councillor Griffiths) be established.**

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Corporate**

**ACTION: Bradford East Co-ordinator**

66. **BRADFORD EAST WARD PLANS**

**All Wards**

The report of the Assistant Director, Neighbourhood and Customer Services (**Document “AE”**) invites members to consider draft Ward Plans for the Bradford East Area covering the period 1 April 2016 to 31 March 2017.

A member thanked officers for the report.

**Resolved –**

- (1) That the six Bradford East Ward Plans 2016 – 2017 be approved and adopted**
- (2) That Council Officers, partner agencies and community organisations be requested to support the implementation of the six Bradford East Ward Plans 2016 - 2017.**
- (3) That the Bradford East Area Co-ordinator reports back to this Committee on the progress in addressing the priorities contained in the Bradford East Ward Plans 2016 - 2017.**

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Corporate**

**ACTION: Assistant Director, Neighbourhood and Customer Services**

Chair

**Note: These minutes are subject to approval as a correct record at the next meeting of the Bradford East Area Committee.**

i:\minutes\bea17Mar

THESE MINUTES HAVE BEEN PRODUCED, WHEREVER POSSIBLE, ON RECYCLED PAPER

